

# EXHIBIT A

**Re: URGENT: Twitter post doxxing Defendants**

Joseph McBride <jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com>

Wed 7/19/2023 9:01 PM

To: Dani Pinter <dpinter@ncoselaw.org>

Cc: Thomas Maniotis <tamaniotis@equitylegal.net>; Paul Ingrassia  
<paul@mcbridelawnyc.com>; TateLegal@McbrideLawNYC.com <TateLegal@mcbridelawnyc.com>

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Out of an abundance of caution, the link was disabled before I sent the previous email.

I understand you have a history of bullying other lawyers and witnesses.

Know this: None of your intimidation tactics **WILL NOT** work here. We will see that Andrew and Tirstan Tate have their names cleared.

I ASK YOU A SECOND TIME: WHO DO YOU REPRESENT?

On Wed, Jul 19, 2023 at 8:57 PM Joseph McBride <jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com> wrote:

Out of an abundance of caution, the link was disabled before I sent the previous email.

I understand you have a history of bullying other lawyers and witnesses.

Know this: None of your intimidation tactics will work here. We will see that Andrew and Tirstan Tate have their names cleared.

I ASK YOU A SECOND TIME: WHO DO YOU REPRESENT?

On Wed, Jul 19, 2023 at 8:44 PM Dani Pinter <dpinter@ncoselaw.org> wrote:

The Google Drive link that you provided to millions which contains the offending private info is **still available and available for download**: <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1sryKeixolnwrMIMWllaHmmcXw74yrBUG/view>.

**Disable this link immediately, and ensure that any filings are redacted and/or file the required notice of confidential filing regarding this information.**

**Dani Bianculli Pinter**

**Senior Legal Counsel | National Center on Sexual Exploitation**

1201 F St NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20004

e: [dpinter@ncoselaw.org](mailto:dpinter@ncoselaw.org)

w: [EndSexualExploitation.org](http://EndSexualExploitation.org)

---

**From:** Joseph McBride <jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 19, 2023 8:38 PM

**To:** Dani Pinter <dpinter@ncoselaw.org>; Thomas Maniotis <tamaniotis@equitylegal.net>; Paul Ingrassia <paul@mcbridelawnyc.com>

**Cc:** TateLegal@McbrideLawNYC.com <TateLegal@mcbridelawnyc.com>

**Subject:** Re: URGENT: Twitter post doxxing Defendants

Ms. Pinter,

The link has been taken down out of an abundance of caution.  
Contrary to your assertion, no rule has been violated.

You, however, have failed to identify yourself as to whom you are representing correctly. In that vein, I ask you this: What are the names of the people you represent? If service has not been made (I believe you are wrong), will you accept service on your client's behalf?

You are miles off from reality in your assertion regarding doxxing, defined as gathering an individual's personally identifiable information and disclosing it publicly for malicious purposes. The notion, therefore, that information extensively published online against Andrew and Tristan Tate constitutes doxxing because it was tweeted is sheer madness.

Warm Regards,

On Wed, Jul 19, 2023 at 7:30 PM Dani Pinter <[dpinter@ncoselaw.org](mailto:dpinter@ncoselaw.org)> wrote:  
Mr. McBride,

My name is Dani Pinter and I am an attorney representing the victim Defendants in the lawsuit you filed on behalf of Andrew and Tristan Tate. Today, July 19, 2023, you have posted a link to Google Drive on Twitter containing documents you claim are exhibits filed in the civil case, before you have served my clients, which contain **sensitive private information including personal phone numbers, home addresses, dates of birth, names of family members, and passport numbers.** You have posted this information on Twitter and made it available to millions **for download. Delete this post immediately and ensure that any filings are redacted and/or file the required notice of confidential filing regarding this information.**

The tweet is located here: <https://twitter.com/mcbridelawnyc/status/1681742373364154368?s=46&t=DSX2Yul1qhDZka5NXLVNRQ>

This is an **ethical violation, places our clients in imminent danger of harassment, identity theft, physical danger, and other harm.** It is also a violation of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration which require that you redact such personal information before filing. **It is also a crime in Florida under Fl. Stat. 817.568.**

Comply with the above request immediately or we will be forced to take legal action.

**Dani Bianculli Pinter**  
**Senior Legal Counsel I National Center on Sexual Exploitation**  
1201 F St NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20004  
e: [dpinter@ncoselaw.org](mailto:dpinter@ncoselaw.org)  
w: [EndSexualExploitation.org](http://EndSexualExploitation.org)

--  
**Joseph D. McBride, Esq.**  
THE MCBRIDE LAW FIRM, PLLC  
99 Park Avenue  
6th Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
p: (917) 757-9537  
e: [jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com](mailto:jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com)  
w: [www.mcbridelawnyc.com](http://www.mcbridelawnyc.com)

--

Joseph D. McBride, Esq.  
THE MCBRIDE LAW FIRM, PLLC  
99 Park Avenue  
6th Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
p: (917) 757-9537  
e: [jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com](mailto:jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com)  
w: [www.mcbridelawnyc.com](http://www.mcbridelawnyc.com)

--

Joseph D. McBride, Esq.  
THE MCBRIDE LAW FIRM, PLLC  
99 Park Avenue  
6th Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
p: (917) 757-9537  
e: [jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com](mailto:jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com)  
w: [www.mcbridelawnyc.com](http://www.mcbridelawnyc.com)

Re: Tate et al. v [REDACTED] et al.

Thomas Maniotis <tamaniotis@equitylegal.net>

Mon 8/14/2023 12:58 PM

To: Dani Pinter <dpinter@ncoselaw.org>

Cc: Joseph McBride <jmcbride@mcbridelawnyc.com>; tamaniotisesq@gmail.com  
<tamaniotisesq@gmail.com>; NCOSE Law Center <lawcenter@ncose.com>

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Good afternoon Ms. Pinter,

In reference, to the motion for extension effectively tolling proceedings, Florida case law indicates that filing a motion for extension of time does not toll proceedings or other time to respond. Neither is it required to hear the extension of time prior to the continuation of the matter or hearing on the motion for default. Please provide case law evidencing such a position regarding hearing a motion for extension prior to entering a default. Upon such a showing of current case law, we will consider withdrawing the default motion. Otherwise, Plaintiffs will continue forward with this matter.

Last point on the extension, no effort has been made on your part to set a hearing date for the extension, nor even courtesy to ask for the extension, just a filing at the eleventh hour and then a demand for extension on your part. Case law is straightforward, regardless if you feel entitled or not.

Ms. Pinter, once more, please note that you completely avoided the question regarding your representation of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I suppose common sense would dictate, based on your email correspondence to co-counsel, the quote in the article, the well-known letter to [REDACTED] and the fact that you are [REDACTED]ing [REDACTED] parents, you do represent [REDACTED] and more than likely [REDACTED]. As you seem to not want to answer the question of represent [REDACTED] tance [REDACTED] we will be moving forward with alternative service of process for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

Regarding your statement "cuts against the rules of Professional Conduct," as you state, the rule "advises" and does not require because there are circumstances where it is not reasonable; especially, under the circumstances that your clients have perpetrated against my clients. While Florida does favor resolving cases on their merits, case law does not give Defendants free reign to delay answering with insignificant filings; therefore, the motion for default is suitable. Plaintiffs are not willing to be flexible with deadlines without an order of the court as they continue to incur enormous damages with each passing day.

Could you please address who is "we" that you often refer to in your correspondence?

Sincerely,

Thomas Maniotis

On Fri, Aug 11, 2023 at 4:42 PM Dani Pinter <dpinter@ncoselaw.org> wrote:

Good Afternoon Mr. Maniotis,

As we timely filed a motion for enlargement of time, which you acknowledge, we are entitled to a hearing on our motion prior to your motion for default. Additionally, we believe your opposition to our motion for additional time in this circumstance is not reasonable, and even cuts against the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct which advises that first such requests should be granted.

Moreover, Florida case law does not favor default judgments and we do not believe your motion seeking default, less than 30 days since the case was filed, is appropriate.

Sincerely,

**Dani Bianculli Pinter**

**Senior Legal Counsel I National Center on Sexual Exploitation**  
1201 F St NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20004

e: [dpinter@ncoselaw.org](mailto:dpinter@ncoselaw.org)

w: [EndSexualExploitation.org](http://EndSexualExploitation.org)

---

**From:** Thomas Maniotis <[tamaniotis@equitylegal.net](mailto:tamaniotis@equitylegal.net)>

**Sent:** Friday, August 11, 2023 1:41 PM

**To:** Dani Pinter <[dpinter@ncoselaw.org](mailto:dpinter@ncoselaw.org)>

**Cc:** NCOSE Law Center <[lawcenter@ncose.com](mailto:lawcenter@ncose.com)>

**Subject:** Tate et al. v. [REDACTED] et al.

Dear Ms. Pinter,

I have received your motion for extension of time to respond to the complaint. However, as you know, you and your clients had notice of this case thirty days [REDACTED] fail to co-counsel for Andrew and Tristan Tate. Of course, your clients ([REDACTED]) were served July 17, 2023. As far back as July 15, 2023, news articles quoted that "we are evaluating next steps". Then, you and your clients wait until the eleventh hour to request an additional 30 days.

As such, my clients will not agree to your motion for extension of time and have responded accordingly. We will be setting the motion for default hearing and providing notice, as required by Florida case law.

[REDACTED] please indicate whether you will accept service on b [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] as a few of your interview statements indicate that [REDACTED]  
are hiding, presum [REDACTED] service, as your served clients told process servers that they are  
"estranged" from [REDACTED] I have prepared the diligent search affidavits, information, and  
motion.

Sincerely,

Thomas Maniotis

--

Thomas Maniotis, Esq.

Attorney at Law

Equity Legal

5201 Blue Lagoon Drive, Floor 8

Miami, FL 33126

Email: [tamaniotis@equitylegal.net](mailto:tamaniotis@equitylegal.net)

Phone: 305-629-3219

Cell: 321-313-8642

Fax: 321-988-0238

Meeting Scheduling: [www.calendly.com/equitylegalpllc](http://www.calendly.com/equitylegalpllc)



\*\*\*\*\*

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS EMAIL, INCLUDING ATTACHMENTS HERETO, IS COVERED BY THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT, 18 U.S.C., SECTIONS 2510-2521, AND IS LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE IS INTENDED TO BE REVIEWED ONLY BY THE INDIVIDUAL OR ORGANIZATION NAMED ABOVE. UNAUTHORIZED REVIEW, USE, DISCLOSURE OR DISTRIBUTION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THIS EMAIL MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE OR BE OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL. ANY DISSEMINATION, COPYING OR USE OF THIS EMAIL BY OR TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THE DESIGNATED AND INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) IS UNAUTHORIZED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE DELETE IT FROM YOUR SYSTEM IMMEDIATELY AND NOTIFY OUR OFFICE IMMEDIATELY. THANK YOU.

--

Thomas Maniotis, Esq.  
Attorney at Law  
Equity Legal  
5201 Blue Lagoon Drive, Floor 8  
Miami, FL 33126  
Email: [tamaniotis@equitylegal.net](mailto:tamaniotis@equitylegal.net)  
Phone: 321-313-8642  
Fax: 321-988-0238  
Meeting Scheduling: [www.calendly.com/equitylegalpllc](http://www.calendly.com/equitylegalpllc)

\*\*\*\*\*

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS EMAIL, INCLUDING ATTACHMENTS HERETO, IS COVERED BY THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT, 18 U.S.C., SECTIONS 2510-2521, AND IS LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE IS INTENDED TO BE REVIEWED ONLY BY THE INDIVIDUAL OR ORGANIZATION NAMED ABOVE. UNAUTHORIZED REVIEW, USE, DISCLOSURE OR DISTRIBUTION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THIS EMAIL MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE OR BE OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL. ANY DISSEMINATION, COPYING OR USE OF THIS EMAIL BY OR TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THE DESIGNATED AND INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) IS UNAUTHORIZED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE DELETE IT FROM YOUR SYSTEM IMMEDIATELY AND NOTIFY OUR OFFICE IMMEDIATELY. THANK YOU.

## Re: Motion for Extension of Time

Thomas Maniotis <tamaniotis@equitylegal.net>

Mon 8/21/2023 4:58 PM

To: Dani Pinter <dpinter@ncoselaw.org>

Cc: Peter Gentala <pgentala@ncoselaw.org>; tamaniotisesq@gmail.com  
<tamaniotisesq@gmail.com>; cwaugh@waugh.legal <cwaugh@waugh.legal>; Joseph McBride  
<jmcbride@mcbridelawny.com>

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Good afternoon Ms. Pinter,

Plaintiffs are not in a position to agree to an additional 30 days to answer nor to respond to any order to show cause. It is simply too protracted due to the amount of damage your clients have already inflicted with fabricated allegations. Therefore, we will not agree to an extension because doing so would be harmful to our clients' interests. It has been noted that Defendants give Plaintiffs two hours notice to answer an email to convey their position to the court. Ironically, I still have not received a response to my last email regarding representation or service on the unserved defendants a week ago. In the future, absent provable emergencies, we will not entertain or accede to any demand to respond to an email within two hours or any other random time.

Furthermore, per your interview, [REDACTED] is in "hiding." Importantly, SDFL has correctly pointed out that her state of residence has not been identified in the notice of removal. After the notice of removal, it is clear that you all are in contact with all the unserved defendants. Once more, to painstakingly reiterate, you have been previously asked about your representation of the unserved defendants and if you would accept service.

Please indicate to the court that we do not agree to any extension of time, as with each day that passes, Plaintiffs are further damaged by your clients intentional and reckless conduct. It is perplexing the need to delay every filing by 30 days at a time with six attorneys working on behalf of the defendants.

Joseph McBride, copied here, is co-counsel on this case. He should be copied on all future correspondence.

Sincerely,

Thomas Maniotis

On Mon, Aug 21, 2023 at 2:59 PM Dani Pinter <dpinter@ncoselaw.org> wrote:

Good Afternoon Mr. Maniotis,

According to local rule 7.1 I am reaching out to you regarding a motion for extension of time. In light of the Court's Order to show cause regarding removal (attached), we will be seeking an extension of time on behalf of [REDACTED] to respond to your complaint while we brief the jurisdictional issues. We would like to ask for 30 days from the time the Court resolves removal/jurisdiction of the case. Please let me know if you are opposed to this motion. Please respond by 5pm est so we can indicate your position to the Court.



Thank you,  
**Dani Bianculli Pinter**  
**Senior Legal Counsel I National Center on Sexual Exploitation**  
1201 F St NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20004  
e: [dpinter@ncoselaw.org](mailto:dpinter@ncoselaw.org)  
w: [EndSexualExploitation.org](http://EndSexualExploitation.org)

--

Thomas Maniotis, Esq.  
Attorney at Law  
Equity Legal  
5201 Blue Lagoon Drive, Floor 8  
Miami, FL 33126  
Email: [tamaniotis@equitylegal.net](mailto:tamaniotis@equitylegal.net)  
Phone: 321-313-8642  
Fax: 321-988-0238  
Meeting Scheduling: [www.calendly.com/equitylegalpllc](http://www.calendly.com/equitylegalpllc)

\*\*\*\*\*

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS EMAIL, INCLUDING ATTACHMENTS HERETO, IS COVERED BY THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT, 18 U.S.C., SECTIONS 2510-2521, AND IS LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE IS INTENDED TO BE REVIEWED ONLY BY THE INDIVIDUAL OR ORGANIZATION NAMED ABOVE. UNAUTHORIZED REVIEW, USE, DISCLOSURE OR DISTRIBUTION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THIS EMAIL MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE OR BE OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL. ANY DISSEMINATION, COPYING OR USE OF THIS EMAIL BY OR TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THE DESIGNATED AND INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) IS UNAUTHORIZED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE DELETE IT FROM YOUR SYSTEM IMMEDIATELY AND NOTIFY OUR OFFICE IMMEDIATELY. THANK YOU.